

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,606	IMAIZUMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lorna M. Douyon	1796	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Paul D. Pyla.

(2) Lorna M. Douyon.

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

3, 8

  

Prior art documents discussed:

*Artiga Gonzalez et al. (US 6,528,474)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend claim 3 by incorporating some limitations of claim 8 and page 15, lines 19-22, to overcome Artiga Gonzalez. Details of the amendment is attached herewith.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Supplemental Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:30PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)